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 The attached article has been submitted for publication. You are being invited to review it and recommend acceptance or rejection based on the points listed below. Please complete this checklist and make your comments in the appropriate pages of this form. The appropriate pages will be sent to the author(s) anonymously. Please return this checklist to the Editors through the email **southbchem@gmail.com**
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| 4 | If a research paper, has this work been published elsewhere? (If so, please provide references to this.) |  |  |  |
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| Is the paper acceptable for publication with major revisions? **(Declined in its present form** but with an invitation to resubmit.) |  |
| Is the paper unacceptable for publication? (**Declined** for reasons given by the referee.) |  |
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