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**Dear Referee**

The attached article has been submitted for publication. You are being invited to review it and recommend acceptance or rejection based on the points listed below. Please complete this checklist and make your comments in the appropriate pages of this form. The appropriate pages will be sent to the author(s) anonymously. Please return this checklist to the Editors through the email **southbchem@gmail.com**

***IMPORTANT****:* ***If you are not in a position to complete the review within four weeks of receipt, please notify the Editors without delay.***

**Type of article** (please underline)**:** scientific review, scientific communication, book review, forum article, other non-scientific paper (………………………………………………….)

Also, to answering the general questions below, it would be helpful if you could give detailed comments on the separate page as indicated at the end of this form.
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| 1 | Is the subject matter suitable for publication in this journal? |  |  |  |
| 2 | Does the publication fit the standard format of the journal? |  |  |  |
| 3 | Is this a new and original contribution? |  |  |  |
| 4 | If a research paper, has this work been published elsewhere? (If so, please provide references to this.) |  |  |  |
| 5 | If a scientific review, is it sufficiently comprehensive and critical? |  |  |  |
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| 11 | Are the data cited following the subject investigated? |  |  |  |
| 12 | Are the applied methods suitable? |  |  |  |
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| 14 | Do the results contain remarkable statements? |  |  |  |
| 15 | Are the interpretations and conclusions sound and justified by the data? |  |  |  |
| 16 | Are the presentation and organization satisfactory? |  |  |  |
| 17 | Are the illustrations and tables necessary and acceptable? |  |  |  |
| 18 | Are the scientific and technical terms correct and accurate? |  |  |  |
| 19 | Is the appropriate reference made to other relevant work? |  |  |  |
| 20 | Is the standard of English satisfactory? |  |  |  |
| 21\* |  |  |  |  |

\*other necessary question(s) and answer(s) of the referee
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| Is the paper accepted for publication in its present form? (**Accepted as it stands**, although subject to technical editing. Please **DO NOT** mark this if you have entered **ANY** significant comments/suggestions on a separate page.) |  |
| Is the paper accepted for publication with minor revisions? **(Revision required** – authors must address the comments or suggestions.) |  |
| Is the paper acceptable for publication with major revisions? **(Declined in its present form** but with an invitation to resubmit.) |  |
| Is the paper unacceptable for publication? (**Declined** for reasons given by the referee.) |  |
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| 5\* | Excellent, top priority |  |
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| 4 | Acceptable, but with modification by the author |  |
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